

Jan 29, 2026

San Diego FBI Field Office  
10385 Vista Sorrento Parkway  
San Diego, CA 92121

Dear Sirs,

I received a response from FBI Headquarters on Sep 23, 2025 informing me that a missive I sent had been forwarded to this local office. I also personally forwarded an earlier package to you that had been "refused" by FBI headquarters over a year ago. I have not heard anything in response to either.

Let me be brief. There is a large, well-funded organization that is clandestinely performing warrantless surveillance of people in their homes. This organization fastidiously maintains anonymity, secrecy, and avoidance of documentation of their efforts by many means, but especially using cutting-edge technology. Exploiting virtually undetectable surveillance, various interventions are also being performed, and plausible deniability is maintained by virtue of the average citizen's inability to adduce evidence that it is occurring.

At first blush, it would appear to be some sort of demand reduction program to deter drug use by creating a spurious correlation between the use of drugs and deleterious effects, apparently based on the notion that the harm caused by drugs deters their use. Early on (before the advent of ubiquitous smart phones), they used image projections to induce the perception of hallucinations. More recently, it has escalated to non-consensual medical experiments involving clandestine infection of subjects with biological agents.

However, this is clearly not a practical method of deterring drug use. Rather, this program is most probably being used to develop these technologies using people who cannot contact authorities for fear of arrest, and whose ailments can be falsely attributed to drug use. Regardless of its intended purpose, it clearly contravenes the rule of law and the Fourth Amendment, and undoubtedly would never occur if there even a modicum of oversight or risk of being exposed.

I am sure that you receive all manner of complaints from people who make bizarre and outlandish claims that are total confabulations. These are obviously false, and possibly disinformation deliberately put out to drown out rational voices with valid complaints. What sets mine apart is that it is consistent with known science, and is based on many years of observations and experiments. Nor would I be surprised if I were portrayed as some big-time criminal by way of specious or calumnious allegations; these are untrue, and a diversion.

This is a very serious matter that, at its core, is fundamentally a program that employs high-technology to hide warrantless surveillance, extrajudicial punishment, and non-consensual medical experimentation. It continues to this day, but is clearly not about drugs for a variety of reasons, including that I have not used them for years. Rather, at this point the continued surveillance must be motivated by a desire to thwart efforts to expose this program. This places me in a situation where I am having my Fourth Amendment rights and my bodily autonomy flagrantly violated by the perpetrators in order for them to avoid being held accountable.

It is not really possible for the average citizen to adduce evidence that this is occurring. But that does not mean that there is no evidence, only that it is necessary that an agency with the appropriate resources and access take the trouble to obtain it. I would hope that the gravity and egregious nature of the situation would elicit at least some desire to investigate. Refusing to do so essentially translates to a free pass for activities that are the most egregious and outrageous, probably based on an off-the-cuff assessment of the credibility of the allegations. I would also hope that the history of consistent abuse of surveillance powers would be taken into account, as well as the probability that I am not the only person being subjected to these "treatments".

The organization of our Republic and its Constitutional justice system has been honed over hundreds of years to balance the rights of citizens and the power of Government. But for it to work, it requires that the law is enforced for all citizens, regardless of whether or not law-enforcement disagrees with the law, or cannot muster sympathy for the citizen. I am not claiming to be an angel, but what is the point of having a Constitution if it is not enforced and paid only lip service?

As the premiere law-enforcement agency in the country, I would assume you would be chomping at the bit to find out more about this, given its serious nature. If you could be so kind as to write back to me your disposition on this matter it would be much appreciated. Thanks for your time and attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

Jonathan C. Hansen, PhD