

Jul 15, 2023

The Honorable Merrick Garland  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave, NW  
Washington D.C. 20530-0001

Dear Mr. Garland,

It has been four months since I wrote you regarding a program of warrantless surveillance and non-consensual medical experimentation that is being conducted by some organization with ties to Federal law enforcement. This is an extremely serious matter that needs immediate attention, and since I have not received any reply, I presume it must have been lost or misplaced. For your convenience, I have attached a copy of the previous missive.

Because of the importance of this matter, I wanted to bring to your attention a few points, expand on and clarify how these technologies are being used to perform non-consensual medical experimentation, and bring to bear some new information that has come into clearer focus.

It may not have been entirely clear, but non-consensual medical experimentation is being performed that involves introducing some type of engineered biologic or other minute devices into unwitting subjects' bodies using small robotic devices for surreptitious delivery. By activating these devices to cause pain or pathology when the subject uses drugs, this experimentation creates a correlation between drug use and unpleasant results. However, the activation of the devices is not due to drug use, and can be dissociated from that activity. As this method of deterring drug use is neither ethical nor economically practical, drug users are being used as subjects to provide plausible deniability by allowing complaints to be attributed to the effect of drugs rather than the non-consensual medical interventions. The real goal of the experimentation must be the development and testing of the technologies themselves in an environment that does not have to pass review by boards overseeing human experimentation and can evade investigation and oversight.

In any case, constant surveillance of the subject is necessary not only assess the effects of these interventions, but also to thwart attempts by the subject to bring attention to this experimentation by authorities. This warrantless, virtually undetectable surveillance is also being performed using robotic devices to avoid identification of the experimenters; most often it involves the use of Unmanned Airborne Vehicles (UAVs) that use through-wall imaging technology. Recently these UAVs have achieved practical invisibility using techniques that have been under development for some time. As is obvious, these devices have considerable military applications in addition to these more nefarious and unconstitutional domestic uses. However, the use of these technologies in this manner essentially nullifies the Fourth Amendment; it enables the observation of people in their houses as invasively as if there were surveillance

devices in those houses, and does so in a manner that prevents adducing any evidence that it is occurring.

This is a subtle but critically important point: because the UAVs are well-disguised or even cloaked, it is not possible to obtain images of them, so it is not possible to prove that they are being used. And without knowing the method being used for through-wall imaging, it leaves the subject in a position of not being able to demonstrate it is occurring. This creates a situation that essentially gives a “free pass” to those employing these technologies in the sense that they are assured of not being held accountable because no one can adduce evidence that they are being employed. This situation has undoubtedly not gone unnoticed and is being deliberately exploited to perform warrantless, invasive surveillance as well as the non-consensual experimentation, dirty tricks, and extrajudicial punishment, etc.

For this reason alone, allegations of such abuse of surveillance technologies need to be investigated, even if the a-priori probability might seem low. When considered in light of the heinous nature of the non-consensual medical interventions being used, this becomes a very serious matter, and cannot be ignored simply on the basis of an off-the-cuff assessment that a project of this nature would never be attempted because it is so egregious. History proves otherwise, as similar abuses of surveillance technologies as well as medical interventions were discovered in the 1970s by the Church Committee.

The Fourth Amendment is a foundational right in the Constitution, and needs to be enforced even if modern technology enables its violation in ways that are difficult to detect or prove. There is a legal principle that there is no right without a remedy. Considered in this context, where targets of warrantless surveillance are unable to adduce evidence of its occurrence, the burden of enforcing the law must be carried by law enforcement itself.

In the international arena, the United States claims to be a nation ruled by law, and touts its freedoms and civil rights, especially as enumerated in the Bill of Rights. We routinely sanction other countries because of their abuse of civil liberties. That there are law-enforcement agencies in the United States working hard to evade, bypass and nullify these fundamental rights by using high-technology, secrecy, and anonymity, is deceptive and hypocritical. As a country that talks the talk, it's essential that it also walks the walk. The entrenched belief by citizens that nothing this egregiously contrary to law could ever occur is what opens the door to its existence, and careful exploitation of secrecy and high technology allow it to continue. The use of virtually undetectable surveillance to perform non-consensual medical experiments is even worse, it's absolutely incredible; virtually nobody believes this at first blush. Corruption this deep must be rooted out.

This program is a quintessential example of a “Deep State” program: clandestine, well entrenched, and insulated by personnel willing to participate in keeping it hidden and beyond oversight. Given its size and scope, there is a lot of people with a vested interest in keeping it operating, as it is their meal ticket. Knowing that it is clearly illegal and that they are complicit in unethical medical experimentation and violation of clear Constitutional rights, they are

motivated to prevent its exposure. Hence, it would not be surprising if some efforts were made to prevent this letter from reaching you, whether this is accomplished by allies in propitious places or by using the advanced robotics that have been developed and are being used for other surreptitious tasks.

For this reason, I would much appreciate it if you could be so kind as to acknowledge the receipt of this letter.

In any case, thank you for your time and attention in this matter. Please feel free to contact me with any questions or for more information. I remain,

Sincerely,

---

Jonathan C. Hansen, PhD